

Iceland Liechtenstein Norway grants

UNIVERSITY OF ICELAND

# GRAVOTHERMAL COLLAPSE OF SELF-INTERACTING DARK MATTER HALOS

- Tamari Meshveliani, PhD student
- Supervisor: Prof. Jesús Zavala Franco





## The observational evidence of Dark Matter

Large number of independent observations support DM hypothesis:

Galaxy rotation curves, CMB, Gravitational lensing of background sources , the measured distribution of hot gas, dwarf spheroidals, the Bullet Cluster





## The observational evidence of Dark Matter

Large number of independent observations support DM hypothesis: Galaxy rotation curves, CMB, Gravitational lensing of background sources , the measured distribution of hot gas, dwarf spheroidals, the Bullet Cluster







## Small Scale Structure Formation Puzzles

- Under-abundance of low mass galaxies
- Core-cusp problem
- Too-big-to-fail problem
- Diversity problem

Possible solutions to these problems:

- 1. Limitations to observations incomplete data.
- 2. Uncertain baryonic physics
- 3. Deviations from the CDM hypothesis



# Windows for alternative DM models to impact the physics of galaxies.

Zavala et al.2019





# Windows for alternative DM models to impact the physics of galaxies.





#### Concentration-Mass Relation based on Extended Press-Schecter (EPS) theory

The model (Ludlow et al. 2016) assumes that  $\langle \rho_{-2} \rangle \sim$  an assembly redshift  $z_{-2}$ 

$$c\left(\frac{H(z_{-2})}{H(z_{0})}\right)^{2} = \frac{\langle \rho_{-2} \rangle}{\rho_{0}} = 200c^{3}\frac{\ln(2) - 0.5}{\ln(1+c) - c/(1+c)}$$

 $z_{-2}$  - the redshift when  $M_{-2}$  of the descendant halo was first assembled into progenitors having a mass larger than a fraction f of the descendant

$$\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\delta_{c}(z_{-2}) - \delta_{c}(z_{0})}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^{2}(f \times M) - \sigma^{2}(M))}}\right) = \frac{M_{-2}}{M_{0}} = \frac{\ln(2) - 0.5}{\ln(1 + c) - c/(1 + c)}$$



#### Concentration-Mass Relation based on Extended Press-Schecter (EPS) theory





### The timescale for the formation of a black hole within SIDM halos

The time after the Big Bang where a SIDM halo of current day mass,  $M_{200}$ , has undergone a gravothermal collapse and formed a black hole

$$t_{BH}(M_{200}, \sigma) = t_{universe}(z_{start}) + t_{collapse} =$$
$$= t_{universe}(z_{start}) + 455.65t_r(r_s, z_{start})$$

Where

$$t_r(r,t) = \frac{1}{a\rho\upsilon\sigma}$$

is the relaxation time - the mean time between single collisions





### Late time evolution of the core

Only a small fraction of the inner core energy is transferred to the outer core and  $|\zeta| \sim 0$ 

$$\frac{dE_c}{dt} = \zeta \frac{E_c}{M_c} \frac{dM_c}{dt}$$

$$\frac{dlogv_c^2(t)}{dlogM_c(t)} = -(1-\zeta)$$

$$\frac{dlogv_c^2(t)}{dlogM_c(t)} \approx -1.1 - 1.2$$



### The timescale for the formation of a black hole within SIDM halos

The local termal average of the transfer cross section

$$\langle \sigma_T \rangle(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_{vel}^3(\vec{x})\sqrt{\pi}} \int \sigma_T v^2 e^{-v^2/4\sigma_{vel}^2(\vec{x})} dv$$

where  $\sigma_{vel}(\vec{x})$  is the local velocity dispersion





# Accelerated core collapse in tidally stripped SIDM halos

Satellite galaxies with significant mass loss due to tidal stripping should have larger central densities and significantly faster core collapse compared to isolated halos.

For an NFW profile, we model the tidal stripping by channeling the profile for  $r > r_t$  to

 $\rho_{NFW}(r_t) \times (r_t/r)^{p_t}$ 

Truncations at  $r_t = r_s$  and  $3r_s$ , correspond to a halo mass loss of ~90% and 70% respectively, for concentration of 20.





Such a scenario could explain compact cores of dwarf galaxies in the Local Group like Tucara (isolated from MW), MW satellite Draco, ultrafaint satellites



- To compare with the simulations
- Observational constraints on the masses of black holes







UNIVERSITY OF ICELAND

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

